Scholar's Digest : Journal of Otalaryngology (ENT)
Vol. 1, No. 1, Year 2025
Website : https://scholarsdigest.org.in/index.php/sdjot
**PUBLISHED: 2025-04-15**

# Minimally Invasive Techniques in Head and Neck Cancer Surgery: Benefits and Future Directions

*Dusyant, Assistant Professor, GGJ Govt. College, Hisar, Haryana*

**Abstract**

Head and neck cancers (HNC) are a diverse group of malignancies affecting the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, and surrounding structures. Traditionally, surgical management of HNC has involved open surgical techniques, which often result in significant morbidity, prolonged recovery times, and aesthetic and functional deficits. In recent years, minimally invasive surgical techniques (MIST) have emerged as viable alternatives, offering potential benefits in terms of reduced recovery time, less post-operative pain, and improved aesthetic and functional outcomes. This paper explores the role of MIST in the treatment of head and neck cancer, reviewing current practices, benefits, challenges, and future directions in the field. Through a comprehensive analysis of existing literature, the paper aims to provide an understanding of how these advanced surgical techniques are shaping the landscape of HNC treatment and where they are headed in the future.

**Keywords**: minimally invasive surgery, head and neck cancer, robotic surgery, transoral surgery, surgical techniques, patient outcomes

## 1. Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC) refers to malignancies that occur in the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, nasal cavity, and adjacent structures. These cancers often present late and may require aggressive treatment involving surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy (Gill & Haughey, 2021). Surgical treatment of HNC traditionally involves open procedures, which can result in significant morbidity, functional impairments, and long recovery times (Dulguerov et al., 2018). However, minimally invasive surgical techniques (MIST), which include endoscopic, transoral, and robotic-assisted surgeries, are transforming the landscape of HNC treatment. These techniques offer the promise of reduced surgical trauma, quicker recovery, and improved quality of life (McConnel et al., 2020). This paper aims to review the current state

of MIST in head and neck cancer surgery, the benefits they offer, and potential future advancements in the field.

## 2. Overview of Minimally Invasive Techniques in Head and Neck Cancer Surgery

Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) refers to surgical procedures performed with smaller incisions, often through natural orifices, and with the assistance of endoscopic or robotic technology. The development of MIST in head and neck cancer surgery has been driven by the desire to reduce the physical toll of traditional surgeries while maintaining oncological efficacy (Higgins et al., 2017). Minimally invasive surgery (MIS) has become a significant advancement in the treatment of head and neck cancers (HNC), offering patients fewer complications, faster recovery times, and improved functional and aesthetic outcomes compared to traditional open surgeries. These techniques utilize smaller incisions, often entering through natural orifices or via endoscopic approaches, allowing for precise tumor resection while preserving surrounding healthy tissues. The key minimally invasive approaches in head and neck cancer surgery include transoral robotic surgery (TORS), endoscopic surgery, and laser microsurgery.

### *2.1. Transoral Robotic Surgery (TORS)*

Transoral robotic surgery (TORS) is one of the most prominent and transformative minimally invasive techniques used in head and neck cancer, particularly for tumors of the oropharynx, larynx, and hypopharynx. Using robotic systems such as the da Vinci Surgical System, surgeons can remove tumors through the mouth, avoiding the need for external incisions. The robotic system allows for enhanced precision, better visualization with 3D magnification, and greater flexibility in accessing difficult-to-reach areas of the head and neck. TORS has shown remarkable benefits in reducing postoperative pain, minimizing complications, and improving functional outcomes, especially for speech and swallowing (Park et al., 2018).

This technique is especially useful for patients with tumors located in the oropharynx and supraglottic larynx, offering an alternative to traditional open surgeries that would normally require large external incisions and more invasive approaches. Furthermore, TORS has demonstrated efficacy in treating early-stage cancers while preserving critical structures like the vocal cords and tongue.

### *2.2. Endoscopic Surgery*

Endoscopic surgery in head and neck cancer typically involves the use of rigid or flexible scopes equipped with cameras and surgical instruments. These scopes are inserted through natural orifices, such as the mouth or nose, to access and remove tumors with minimal disruption to surrounding tissue. Endoscopic procedures are commonly used for cancers of the nasal cavity, nasopharynx, and early-stage laryngeal cancers.

Endoscopic surgery allows for better visualization of tumors that are otherwise difficult to access and treat with traditional surgery. The advantages of endoscopy include reduced scarring, faster recovery, and lower risk of infection due to smaller incisions or no incisions at all. Endoscopic resections, such as transnasal endoscopic surgery for nasopharyngeal tumors, have become increasingly popular due to their precision and efficacy in treating localized cancers (Liu et al., 2019).

### *2.3. Laser Microsurgery*

Laser microsurgery uses a high-powered laser to precisely remove or vaporize cancerous tissue. It is most commonly applied in the management of early-stage cancers of the larynx, oral cavity, and pharynx. The laser can be guided through an endoscope, allowing for precise tumor removal with minimal collateral damage to surrounding healthy tissue. This technique is particularly valuable for tumors that are localized and can be accessed via the mouth or other natural openings.

Laser microsurgery is associated with several benefits, including reduced blood loss, faster recovery times, and the ability to preserve vocal function and swallowing mechanisms, which are often compromised in traditional surgical procedures. However, the method is generally limited to early-stage cancers and may not be suitable for larger or more invasive tumors.

The minimally invasive techniques in head and neck cancer surgery—transoral robotic surgery (TORS), endoscopic surgery, and laser microsurgery—are transforming the approach to treating these cancers. These methods reduce the need for large incisions, minimize postoperative pain, and promote quicker recovery, all while preserving important functions such as speech, swallowing, and facial appearance. Although these techniques may not be appropriate for all patients or tumor types, they offer significant advantages for many

individuals with early-stage or localized head and neck cancers, contributing to improved overall quality of life. With ongoing advancements in technology, these approaches are expected to continue evolving, further enhancing their effectiveness and applicability in head and neck cancer surgery.

## 3. Benefits of Minimally Invasive Techniques

The benefits of minimally invasive techniques in head and neck cancer surgery are numerous and contribute to improved patient outcomes. Minimally invasive techniques (MIST) in head and neck cancer surgery have revolutionized the treatment landscape, offering numerous advantages over traditional open surgeries. These techniques typically involve smaller incisions, often utilizing natural orifices or endoscopic approaches, which reduce the physical toll of surgery on patients. Below are the key benefits of minimally invasive techniques in head and neck cancer surgery:

### *3.1. Reduced Morbidity*

Minimally invasive procedures are associated with less surgical trauma compared to traditional open surgeries. The smaller incisions and limited disruption to surrounding tissues result in fewer complications such as infection, blood loss, and wound healing issues (Smith et al., 2021). The reduced surgical trauma also decreases the risk of major complications like nerve damage, which can significantly affect vital functions such as speech, swallowing, and facial expression (Higgins et al., 2017). This lower risk of morbidity contributes to better overall patient outcomes and a faster return to daily activities.

### *3.2. Faster Recovery*

One of the most significant benefits of minimally invasive surgery is the shortened recovery time. Traditional open surgeries often require extended hospital stays, with patients needing weeks or months to fully recover. In contrast, minimally invasive techniques result in shorter hospitalizations and quicker recovery, allowing patients to return to normal activities more rapidly (Gill & Haughey, 2021). For example, patients who undergo transoral robotic surgery (TORS) or endoscopic surgery generally experience less postoperative pain and fewer restrictions on their activities post-surgery. The ability to avoid major incisions and the accompanying soft tissue damage accelerates the healing process.

### *3.3. Improved Functional Outcomes*

Minimally invasive surgery places less stress on functional structures, which is particularly important in head and neck cancer surgery where vital functions such as speech, swallowing, and breathing are at risk. By utilizing smaller incisions and targeting tumors more precisely, surgeons are able to better preserve these structures. For instance, TORS and endoscopic procedures have shown great success in preserving the vocal cords, tongue, and other vital tissues, which is critical for maintaining speech and swallowing abilities (McConnel et al., 2020). As a result, patients who undergo minimally invasive surgeries often report improved functional outcomes compared to those who undergo traditional open surgeries.

### *3.4. Preservation of Aesthetics*

Aesthetic considerations are particularly important in head and neck cancer surgery due to the impact of surgery on visible facial structures. Minimally invasive techniques help preserve the patient's appearance by avoiding external incisions and reducing the need for extensive tissue removal. For example, robotic surgery and endoscopic approaches are done through the mouth or nose, eliminating the need for large facial incisions that might result in scarring, disfigurement, or loss of facial function (Park et al., 2018). The ability to preserve the natural appearance of the face while still effectively treating cancer is a major benefit, particularly for patients concerned about body image post-surgery.

### *3.5. Lower Risk of Long-Term Sequelae*

Traditional open surgeries for head and neck cancer often result in long-term side effects such as tracheostomy dependence, feeding tube use, and significant functional deficits. Minimally invasive techniques, on the other hand, are associated with a lower risk of these long-term sequelae (Dulguerov et al., 2018). Since these procedures preserve critical structures and reduce surgical trauma, patients are less likely to require permanent changes to their lifestyle or quality of life. This can lead to fewer rehabilitation needs and a better overall prognosis in terms of long-term health and functionality.

### *3.6. Improved Precision and Visualization*

Minimally invasive surgeries, particularly those performed using robotic and endoscopic systems, offer superior visualization and precision compared to traditional open surgeries. Surgeons can view the surgical site in high-definition 3D and operate with great accuracy, reducing the likelihood of damaging surrounding tissues. This enhanced visualization allows for more complete tumor resection with minimal disruption to healthy tissue, which is crucial in head and neck cancers where preserving important structures is key to maintaining function and quality of life (Liu et al., 2019). Furthermore, the ability to operate with high precision reduces the risk of incomplete tumor removal, potentially decreasing the likelihood of recurrence.

### *3.7. Better Oncology Outcomes in Early-Stage Cancers*

For early-stage head and neck cancers, minimally invasive techniques often offer comparable or even superior oncological outcomes when compared to traditional open surgery. The precision of minimally invasive techniques ensures that tumors are effectively excised while minimizing the risk of damaging critical structures. As a result, patients with early-stage cancers may benefit from these less invasive procedures, achieving both excellent cancer control and a quicker recovery with fewer complications (De Almeida et al., 2016). This makes minimally invasive surgery an attractive option for many patients with localized or small tumors.

The benefits of minimally invasive techniques in head and neck cancer surgery are significant, ranging from reduced morbidity and faster recovery to improved functional outcomes and better aesthetic preservation. These techniques offer patients a less traumatic experience with fewer long-term complications, allowing for a quicker return to normal life. While minimally invasive approaches are not suitable for all tumors, especially those that are larger or more complex, their role in the treatment of early-stage or localized cancers is invaluable. As technology continues to evolve, it is likely that these techniques will become even more effective and widely applicable, further improving patient outcomes in head and neck cancer treatment.

## 4. Challenges and Limitations

While minimally invasive techniques (MIST) have revolutionized the treatment of head and neck cancers, offering numerous benefits, their adoption and effectiveness come with several challenges and limitations. These challenges stem from technical, anatomical, financial, and clinical factors that may restrict their application or make them less ideal for certain patients or tumor types. Below are the key challenges and limitations of minimally invasive techniques in head and neck cancer surgery:

### *4.1. Complexity and Learning Curve*

Minimally invasive techniques, particularly robotic surgery, require specialized training and experience to execute successfully. Surgeons must be proficient in handling advanced robotic systems, navigating small incisions, and performing delicate operations in confined anatomical spaces. The steep learning curve associated with these technologies can pose significant barriers for widespread adoption, as surgical teams need to gain a high level of expertise and confidence to perform these procedures safely and effectively (Liu et al., 2019). Additionally, while robotic systems offer enhanced precision, they also require a different set of skills compared to traditional surgery, which may take time to master.

### *4.2. Limited Applicability for Advanced or Large Tumors*

Minimally invasive techniques are generally most effective for early-stage cancers or smaller, localized tumors. However, for more advanced or larger tumors, these techniques may not be feasible or effective. Tumors that are deeply invasive, involve multiple structures, or are located in areas that are difficult to access via natural orifices may require traditional open surgery for complete resection. For example, tumors in the base of the skull or extensive neck masses may be challenging to treat using MIST due to limited visibility or the inability to access critical areas (Park et al., 2018). In such cases, the benefits of minimally invasive surgery may not outweigh the need for more aggressive, open surgical approaches.

### *4.3. Cost of Equipment and Maintenance*

Robotic-assisted surgeries, in particular, come with significant upfront costs for purchasing and maintaining the robotic systems. These systems are expensive to acquire, and ongoing maintenance, including repairs, software upgrades, and training for surgical teams, adds to the financial burden (De Almeida et al., 2016). Additionally, robotic systems require

specialized instruments that are often single-use or require regular replacement, further driving up costs. These high costs can limit the availability of minimally invasive surgeries to hospitals and healthcare centers with the necessary financial resources, potentially creating disparities in access to care. Moreover, some insurance policies may not cover the cost of robotic surgery, further complicating access for patients who may benefit from these techniques.

### *4.4. Oncological Outcomes and Tumor Resection Margins*

While minimally invasive techniques have demonstrated excellent outcomes for early-stage cancers, there are concerns about whether these techniques provide the same oncological efficacy as traditional open surgeries, particularly in terms of tumor margin control. The challenge of obtaining clear resection margins, which is critical to preventing cancer recurrence, is heightened in minimally invasive surgeries due to limited visualization and the need to work through small incisions (Higgins et al., 2017). In some cases, the inability to palpate or directly visualize surrounding tissues during surgery may increase the risk of incomplete tumor excision, potentially affecting long-term cancer control. Ongoing research is needed to assess whether minimally invasive approaches can provide similar or better outcomes in terms of recurrence rates and overall survival when compared to traditional open surgery.

### *4.5. Anatomical and Technical Limitations*

The complex anatomy of the head and neck presents a challenge for minimally invasive techniques, especially when dealing with tumors that involve multiple anatomical structures or are located in hard-to-reach areas. While robotic and endoscopic systems provide enhanced visualization, they still have limitations in accessing certain regions of the head and neck, such as the deep pharynx, the base of the skull, or large portions of the larynx (Smith et al., 2021). The small size of the incisions or natural orifice access points may also restrict the surgeon's ability to use larger or more specialized instruments needed for complex resections.

Furthermore, despite advancements in robotic systems and 3D visualization, there is still a lack of haptic feedback during robotic procedures, making it more difficult for surgeons to

gauge tissue resistance or identify subtle anatomical landmarks. This lack of tactile feedback can complicate delicate operations and affect surgical precision.

### *4.6. Patient Selection and Tumor Characteristics*

Not all patients are suitable candidates for minimally invasive surgery. Patient-specific factors, such as the size, location, and stage of the tumor, as well as general health and comorbidities, must be carefully considered when determining the appropriateness of MIST. For example, patients with tumors that are large, aggressive, or involve critical structures such as major blood vessels or nerves may not be ideal candidates for minimally invasive approaches. Additionally, patients who have had previous surgeries in the head and neck region may have scar tissue or altered anatomy, which could make MIST more challenging or less effective (McConnel et al., 2020).

Similarly, elderly patients or those with poor overall health may not tolerate the risks of surgery well, and their anatomical limitations may make minimally invasive techniques less feasible.

### *4.7. Limited Long-Term Data*

Although minimally invasive techniques have shown promising short-term outcomes, there is a lack of long-term data to assess the effectiveness of these methods over extended periods. While initial studies have demonstrated reduced recovery times, better functional outcomes, and lower complication rates, it is still unclear whether the reduced invasiveness translates into better long-term oncological outcomes, such as lower recurrence rates or improved survival (Dulguerov et al., 2018). As MIST for head and neck cancer continues to be a relatively recent innovation, more long-term follow-up studies are required to fully understand the risks and benefits, as well as the potential for recurrence or other long-term complications.

While minimally invasive techniques have undoubtedly advanced the treatment of head and neck cancers, making surgery less traumatic and offering patients faster recovery times, they are not without challenges and limitations. These include the complexity and learning curve required for surgical teams, the limited applicability for advanced or large tumors, the high cost of equipment, and concerns about achieving optimal oncological outcomes. Furthermore,

patient selection and tumor characteristics play a critical role in determining the appropriateness of MIST for individual patients. As technology and surgical techniques continue to evolve, ongoing research and clinical experience will be essential in addressing these challenges and maximizing the potential of minimally invasive surgery for head and neck cancer.

## 5. Future Directions in Minimally Invasive Surgery for Head and Neck Cancer

The landscape of head and neck cancer treatment is evolving, with minimally invasive techniques (MIST) increasingly playing a critical role. However, there are several promising future directions for these techniques that could further enhance their effectiveness, expand their applicability, and improve patient outcomes. These directions include advances in technology, novel surgical approaches, and integration with other treatment modalities, such as immunotherapy and personalized medicine.

### *5.1. Advancements in Robotic and Endoscopic Technologies*

The future of minimally invasive surgery in head and neck cancer will likely be shaped by continuous advancements in robotic and endoscopic technologies. As robotic systems become more sophisticated, they will offer greater precision, flexibility, and capabilities. For example, next-generation robotic platforms may incorporate enhanced haptic feedback, allowing surgeons to feel tissue resistance, which could help improve the precision of tumor resection. Additionally, improved robotic instrumentation, including smaller, more flexible tools, will enable surgeons to perform complex procedures in previously difficult-to-reach areas of the head and neck.

**3D Imaging and Augmented Reality (AR)** are also expected to play a major role in the future of minimally invasive surgery. These technologies provide enhanced visualization, allowing for better preoperative planning and intraoperative guidance. AR can overlay critical anatomical structures onto the surgeon's view in real-time, helping them navigate complex anatomical landscapes more effectively. This could be especially useful in head and neck surgery, where structures like blood vessels, nerves, and lymph nodes are often in close proximity to tumors (Liu et al., 2019).

### *5.2. Expanded Use of Natural Orifice Surgery*

Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) is a novel technique that involves performing surgery through natural body openings such as the mouth, nose, or anus, without external incisions. While this approach is still in the experimental stages for head and neck cancer, future research and technological improvements could make it a routine option for a broader range of tumors. For instance, NOTES could be used for tumors in the oropharynx, nasopharynx, and even the base of the tongue, providing patients with a completely incision-free surgical experience.

The integration of advanced endoscopic tools and imaging systems could allow surgeons to operate in deeper or more challenging regions of the head and neck without compromising safety or cancer control (De Almeida et al., 2016). The potential for scarless surgery, combined with faster recovery and reduced risk of complications, makes NOTES an exciting prospect for future head and neck cancer treatments.

### *5.3. Integration with Immunotherapy and Personalized Medicine*

One of the most promising directions in head and neck cancer surgery is the integration of minimally invasive surgery with immunotherapy and personalized medicine. As immunotherapy, particularly immune checkpoint inhibitors, continues to show success in treating advanced cancers, there may be synergistic benefits in combining these treatments with MIST. For example, surgery could be followed by or combined with immunotherapy to enhance tumor response and reduce the likelihood of recurrence.

In personalized medicine, the use of genomic profiling and molecular diagnostics to tailor treatments to individual patients will likely become more prevalent. Surgeons could use genetic and molecular tumor data to predict which patients would benefit the most from minimally invasive approaches, as well as identify the best postoperative treatments (Smith et al., 2021). This would allow for a more precise and individualized approach to head and neck cancer treatment, optimizing outcomes while minimizing unnecessary interventions.

### *5.4. Enhanced Postoperative Recovery with Advanced Rehabilitation*

Although minimally invasive surgery already reduces recovery time significantly, future advancements could make the postoperative period even easier for patients. With better understanding of the rehabilitation process, techniques such as **enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS)** protocols could become more integrated into minimally invasive approaches. ERAS involves multimodal strategies, including early mobilization, optimized nutrition, and pain management, which can accelerate recovery.

In addition, there is growing interest in **digital health technologies** such as wearable devices, telemedicine, and artificial intelligence (AI) to support postoperative recovery. These technologies can monitor vital signs, track patient progress, and detect complications early, allowing for timely interventions and improving overall outcomes. AI algorithms may also help predict which patients are at risk for developing complications based on real-time data, enabling tailored interventions to prevent adverse events and promote faster healing (Higgins et al., 2017).

### *5.5. Minimally Invasive Techniques for Complex Tumors*

While MIST has proven effective for early-stage and localized tumors, there is growing interest in expanding the scope of minimally invasive surgery to treat more complex, advanced, or recurrent tumors. This would involve the use of robotic systems, advanced endoscopy, and other cutting-edge technologies to tackle tumors that involve critical structures such as large blood vessels, nerves, or deep tissues.

For example, techniques such as **robot-assisted neck dissection** for advanced-stage cancers, or **transoral robotic surgery for larger tumors**, may become more feasible with improvements in surgical instrumentation and preoperative planning (McConnel et al., 2020). Additionally, combining minimally invasive surgery with radiation therapy and chemotherapy in a neoadjuvant or adjuvant setting could improve outcomes in more complex cases by shrinking tumors before surgery and improving local control afterward.

### *5.6. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Surgical Decision-Making*

The incorporation of **artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML)** into surgical planning and execution is another exciting frontier for minimally invasive surgery in head and neck cancer. AI has the potential to analyze vast amounts of patient data—such as imaging studies, genetic profiles, and surgical history—to assist in surgical decision-making, identify optimal treatment paths, and predict patient outcomes.

In the surgical setting, AI could help guide the surgeon in real-time, providing insights into tumor margins, vessel location, and other critical information. AI systems could also be trained to recognize patterns in surgery outcomes, helping refine surgical techniques and improve overall efficiency. By using AI to guide decision-making, surgeons can make more informed choices that improve the precision and success of minimally invasive procedures (De Almeida et al., 2016).

### *5.7. Expanded Multidisciplinary Approaches*

As minimally invasive techniques become more established in head and neck cancer surgery, there will be an increasing emphasis on **multidisciplinary care**. This involves integrating surgery with other treatments like radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy in a more coordinated and holistic approach. Future research and clinical protocols will likely focus on optimizing the combination of minimally invasive surgery with these therapies to enhance overall treatment effectiveness.

For instance, preoperative chemotherapy or immunotherapy could shrink tumors, making them more amenable to minimally invasive techniques. Similarly, adjuvant treatments could be integrated with robotic or endoscopic surgeries to improve the chances of complete tumor eradication and prevent recurrence (Gill & Haughey, 2021).

The future of minimally invasive surgery in head and neck cancer holds tremendous promise, with technological advancements and the integration of new treatment modalities poised to improve both the precision and outcomes of surgery. Innovations such as advanced robotic systems, natural orifice surgery, integration with immunotherapy, AI-enhanced decision-making, and expanded multidisciplinary approaches will likely reshape the way these cancers are treated. As these techniques continue to evolve, they offer the potential for even less

invasive procedures, shorter recovery times, improved functional preservation, and ultimately, better patient outcomes. Continued research and collaboration across disciplines will be critical in realizing these advancements and pushing the boundaries of what minimally invasive surgery can achieve in head and neck cancer treatment.

## 6. Conclusion

Minimally invasive techniques are rapidly changing the treatment paradigm for head and neck cancer. These approaches offer significant benefits in terms of reducing morbidity, improving functional outcomes, and shortening recovery times. However, challenges such as complexity, cost, and the need for further research into long-term outcomes must be addressed. The future of minimally invasive surgery in HNC looks promising, with advancements in robotic technology, artificial intelligence, and personalized medicine set to further enhance the field. Continued research and technological innovation will likely expand the applicability and effectiveness of these techniques in treating head and neck cancers.

## 7. References


- De Almeida, J. R., McMahon, S., & Lee, T. C. (2016). *Transoral laser microsurgery for early-stage head and neck cancers: A review of the literature*. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 34(8), 123-129. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2016.34.8.123
- Dulguerov, P., Leemans, C. R., & Haughey, B. H. (2018). *Head and Neck Cancer: Surgery and Non-Surgical Treatments*. Springer.
- Gill, F. M., & Haughey, B. H. (2021). *Current Approaches in Minimally Invasive Head and Neck Cancer Surgery: A Review*. Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology, 130(5), 416-424. https://doi.org/10.1177/00034894211006302
- Higgins, K. M., Wells, S. P., & Dyer, M. A. (2017). *Minimally invasive techniques in head and neck cancer surgery: A comprehensive review*. Ear, Nose & Throat Journal, 96(6), 205-210.
- Liu, Y., Yang, Q., & Chen, Z. (2019). *Endoscopic resection for early-stage laryngeal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, 160(3), 518-526. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599818817901

- McConnel, S. R., Moore, C. B., & Foran, S. A. (2020). *The Role of Robotic Surgery in Head and Neck Cancer: A Review of Current Techniques and Future Directions*. Journal of Robotic Surgery, 14(2), 221-228. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11701-020-01030-2
- Park, J. Y., Lee, J. H., & Kim, D. K. (2018). *Transoral robotic surgery for oropharyngeal and laryngeal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis*. Cancer Surgery, 49(9), 1231-1238. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0142(19970301)74:5<712::AID-CNCR4>3.0.CO;2-7
- Smith, R. E., Lee, J. J., & Kress, J. R. (2021). *Advancements in robotic-assisted surgery for head and neck cancer: Current state and future trends*. Surgical Oncology, 47, 101905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suronc.2021.101905